

A new synonym of *Polygonatum* in China, based on morphological and molecular evidence

Maoqin Xia¹, Ying Liu¹, Jingjing Liu², Donghong Chen², Yan Shi², Zhicong Bai¹, Yu Xiao¹, Chen Peng¹, Jinping Si², Pan Li¹, Yingxiong Qiu¹

1 *Laboratory of Systematic & Evolutionary Botany and Biodiversity, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China* **2** *State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture, Zhejiang A&F University, Lin'an, Hangzhou 311300, China*

Corresponding authors: Jinping Si (lssjp@163.com); Pan Li (panli_zju@126.com)

Academic editor: L. Peruzzi | Received 20 January 2021 | Accepted 22 March 2021 | Published 12 April 2021

Citation: Xia M, Liu Y, Liu J, Chen D, Shi Y, Bai Z, Xiao Y, Peng C, Si J, Li P, Qiu Y (2021) A new synonym of *Polygonatum* in China, based on morphological and molecular evidence. *PhytoKeys* 175: 137–149. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.175.63383>

Abstract

Polygonatum kingianum Collett et Hemsl. var. *grandifolium* D.M. Liu & W.Z. Zeng (1981), which sprouts twice a year, once in spring and once in autumn, differs from *Polygonatum kingianum* in leaves, bracts, perianth and filaments. Morphological comparison and molecular phylogeny indicate that it is identical to the newly-published *Polygonatum hunanense* H.H. Liu & B.Z. Wang (2021). Hence, we propose that *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* should be recognised as a new synonym of *P. hunanense*. In addition, phylogenetic analyses confirmed that *P. hunanense* is sister to *Polygonatum* sect. *Polygonatum*, rather than *P. kingianum* of *Polygonatum* sect. *Verticillata*.

Keywords

Polygonatum hunanense, *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium*, phylogeny, plastome

Introduction

The genus *Polygonatum* Mill. (Asparagaceae, tribe Polygonateae), commonly known as ‘Solomon’s Seal’, contains more than 60 species widespread in the Northern Hemisphere, with Himalayas to southwest China and north-eastern Asia as diversification centres (Tamura et al. 1997a; Jeffrey 1980, 1982; Wang et al. 2016). Species in *Polygonatum* are perennial herbs with rhizome, stems erect, arching or sometimes scandent, leaves alter-

nate, opposite or whorled, inflorescences an umbel, corymb or raceme (Chen and Tamura 2000). Rhizomes of some species, like *Polygonatum sibiricum* Redouté, *Polygonatum cyrtotrichum* Hua and *Polygonatum kingianum* Collett et Hemsl., are widely used in traditional Chinese medicine. Tamura et al. separated *Heteropolygonatum* M.N. Tamura et Ogisu from *Polygonatum* (Tamura et al. 1997a) and found the topological difference of *Heteropolygonatum* and its relative taxa: (1) (*Heteropolygonatum* + *Disporopsis*) + *Polygonatum* and (2) (*Heteropolygonatum* + *Polygonatum*) + *Disporopsis* (Tamura et al. 1997b). Later, the former case was supported by Meng et al. (2014) and Wang et al. (2016), whereas the latter one was supported by Floden and Schilling (2018) and Zhao et al. (2019). The genus *Polygonatum* was divided into three sections, based on four chloroplast molecular markers, leaf arrangement and basic chromosome number: (1) *Polygonatum* sect. *Polygonatum*, which is characterised by alternate leaves and basic chromosome number $x = 9–11$; (2) *Polygonatum* sect. *Sibirica* only includes *Polygonatum sibiricum* with whorled leaves and $x = 12$; and (3) *Polygonatum* sect. *Verticillata* shows variable phyllotaxy and $x = 13–15$ (Meng et al. 2014). This is widely accepted and confirmed by multiple molecular phylogenetic studies (Wang et al. 2016; Floden and Schilling 2018; Zhao et al. 2019). However, due to hybridisation and polyploidisation in this genus, classification of some species with large morphological variations and wide distribution range remains controversial (Tamura 1990; Tamura 2008; Floden 2015; Floden and Schilling 2018; Zhao et al. 2019).

During fieldwork in the last few years, we found several populations of a unique *Polygonatum* species in Sichuan Province, Chongqing Municipality and Hubei Province of China (Figure 1). The plants are 1–3 m high with 3–5 whorled leaves per round, yellowish-white or greenish-white flowers and yellow or orange berries (Figure 2). It sprouts twice a year, once in spring (March to April) and once in autumn (September), whereas other species sprout only once in spring. It is likely belonging to the section *Verticillata*, according to the phenotype. After carefully checking the protologue and type specimens (Figure 3), we found that our collections matched the description of *Polygonatum kingianum* Collett et Hemsl. var. *grandifolium* D.M. Liu et W.Z. Zeng, which was published in *Flora Sichuanica* and is differing from other *P. kingianum* varieties by having broader leaves ((1.5–) 2.4–5 cm wide) with green leaf base (vs. 0.2–1.0 (–1.5) cm wide, leaf base red), 2–5 mm long bracts at base of pedicel (vs. 1–2 mm long, on pedicel), yellowish- or greenish-white perianth (vs. pink, red or white) (Figures 2, 4; Xu 1981). In addition, it is also similar to the newly-published *Polygonatum hunanense* H.H. Liu & B.Z. Wang from Hunan, China (Liu et al. 2021). In this study, molecular phylogenetic analyses were performed to reveal the phylogenetic relationships amongst *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium*, *P. hunanense* and *P. kingianum*.

Materials and methods

Morphologic observation

Morphological characters of the living individuals from Mt. Emei, Sichuan Province, China were observed. In addition, 16 herbarium specimens of *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium* in IMC, CDCM and CDBI were examined. Subsequently, mor-




Figure 1. Distribution map of *Polygonatum hunanense* (red dots with black circle, based on Liu et al. 2021) and *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium* (blue dots with black circle: three populations investigated in this study; blue dots: previous specimen records).

phological comparisons were conducted with the living individuals, specimens and descriptions of *Polygonatum hunanense* and *Polygonatum kingianum* from flora and previous research (Jeffrey 1980; Xu 1981; Chen and Tamura 2000; Liu et al. 2021).

Sequencing, plastome assembly and annotation

In order to determine the phylogenetic status of the taxon, we sequenced three samples from Nanchuan, Chongqing Municipality, Enshi, Hubei Province and Mt. Emei, Sichuan Province, respectively (Figure 1), as well as two *Polygonatum kingianum*, one *Polygonatum sibiricum* and one *Polygonatum zanlanscianense* (Table 1). Representative voucher specimens are currently deposited at the Herbarium of Zhejiang University (HZU). Genomic DNAs were extracted from silica-gel dried leaves using DNA Plantzol Reagent (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were prepared and sequenced using paired-end 150 bp at Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen, China) on a BGISEQ-500 sequencing platform. Approximately 3G raw data were generated for each sample. Raw data were trimmed by removing adapters and low-quality reads and then a de novo approach was applied to assemble plastomes using the NOVOPlasty v.3.8.3 (Dierckxsens et al. 2017) with K-mer = 39. The plastome and *rbcL* gene sequences of *Polygonatum stenophyllum* Maxim. (KX822773) were adopted as reference and seed sequence, respectively. DOGMA (Wyman et al. 2004) was used for plastome annotation with manually checking the start/stop codons in Geneious 10.2.3




Figure 2. *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium* **A** stem **B** plant habit **C** leaves **D** rhizome **E** bracts **F** flower **G** longitudinal section of flower **H** fruit.

(<http://www.geneious.com>). In addition, plastome data of *Polygonatum* and outgroups (*Heteropolygonatum* and *Disporopsis* Hance) from Floden & Schilling (2018) were used for phylogenetic analyses (Table 1). To study the phylogenetic relationship between *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* and *P. hunanense*, *rbcL*, *trnK*, *psbA-trnH* and *trnC-petN*, sequences from Liu et al. (2021) were downloaded for further phylogenetic analyses.

Phylogeny of *Polygonatum*

The sequence of 78 protein coding genes (CDS) shared by all plastomes were aligned using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Standley 2013) in Geneious 10.2.3. The *rbcL*, *trnK*, *psbA-trnH* and *trnC-petN* sequences from Liu et al. (2021) and those from the seven newly-reported plastomes were aligned using MUSCLE in Geneious 10.2.3. DNAsP6 was used to do statistics of site information (Rozas et al. 2017). The phylogenetic trees were constructed using both Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) methods, implemented on CIPRES Science Gateway website (<https://www.phylo.org>, Miller et

Table I. GenBank accessions of plastomes involved in this study. Samples in bold were newly sequenced in this study.

Species name	GenBank number	Length
<i>Disporopsis jinfushanensis</i> Z.Y. Liu	MH891733	155,188
<i>Heteropolygonatum altelobatum</i> (Hayata) Y.H. Tseng, H.Y. Tzeng et C.T. Chao	MH891734	155,534
<i>Heteropolygonatum alternicirrhosum</i> (Hand.-Mazz.) Floden	MH891737	155,510
<i>Heteropolygonatum marmoratum</i> (H. Lév.) Floden	MH891735	155,447
<i>Heteropolygonatum pendulum</i> (Z.G. Liu et X.H. Hu) M.N. Tamura et Ogiu	MH891736	155,436
<i>Polygonatum acuminatifolium</i> 2 Komarov	MH891751	155,304
<i>Polygonatum annamense</i> Floden	MH891738	155,277
<i>Polygonatum arisanense</i> Hayata	MH891752	155,340
<i>Polygonatum biflorum</i> (Walter) Elliott	MH891756	155,470
<i>Polygonatum cathcartii</i> Baker	MH891745	155,970
<i>Polygonatum govanianum</i> Royle	MH891755	155,089
<i>Polygonatum kingianum</i> var. <i>grandifolium</i> 1	MW373518	155,609
<i>Polygonatum kingianum</i> var. <i>grandifolium</i> 2	MW373529	155,632
<i>Polygonatum kingianum</i> var. <i>grandifolium</i> 3	MW373520	155,609
<i>Polygonatum huanum</i> H. Lév.	MH891743	155,545
<i>Polygonatum kingianum</i> 1 Collett et Hemsley	MW373516	155,810
<i>Polygonatum kingianum</i> 2	MW373517	155,824
<i>Polygonatum mengtzense</i> 1 F.T. Wang et Tang	MH891740	155,498
<i>Polygonatum mengtzense</i> 2	MH891741	155,492
<i>Polygonatum oppositifolium</i> (Wall.) Royle	MH891746	155,760
<i>Polygonatum orientale</i> Desf.	MH891753	155,386
<i>Polygonatum punctatum</i> Royle ex Kunth	MH891739	155,333
<i>Polygonatum sibiricum</i> 1 Redouté	MW373521	155,549
<i>Polygonatum stenophyllum</i> Maxim.	KX822773	156,028
<i>Polygonatum stewartianum</i> Diels	MH891749	155,867
<i>Polygonatum tessellatum</i> F.T. Wang et Tang	MH891747	155,724
<i>Polygonatum uncinatum</i> Diels	MH891744	155,694
<i>Polygonatum urceolatum</i> (J.M.H. Shaw) Floden	MH891742	155,504
<i>Polygonatum verticillatum</i> 1 (L.) Allioni	MH891748	155,878
<i>Polygonatum verticillatum</i> 2	MH891750	155,502
<i>Polygonatum yunnanense</i> H. Lév.	MH891754	155,363
<i>Polygonatum zanlanscianense</i> 1 Pampanini	MW373522	155,911

al. 2010) with the best-fit model of DNA substitution estimated by jModelTest v.2.1.4 (Darriba et al. 2012). ML analysis was conducted using RAxML-HPC BlackBox 8.2.12 with 1000 bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis 2014). Bayesian analysis was constructed using MrBayes XSEDE 3.2.7 with two independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo chains for 10,000,000 generations and sampling every 1000 generations (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). The first 25% of calculated trees were discarded as burn-in and the remaining trees were used to construct a consensus tree to estimate the posterior probability (PP).

Results and discussion

Morphological comparisons showed that *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* is almost the same as *P. hunanense*, except that the latter has narrower leaves (Table 2). However, they both differ from other *P. kingianum* varieties in leaves, bracts, perianth and fila-

Table 2. Comparison of morphological characters amongst *P. hunanense*, *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* and *P. kingianum* varieties. The dashed line indicates the characters are the same as the original variety.

Characters	<i>P. hunanense</i>	<i>P. kingianum</i> var. <i>grandifolium</i>			<i>P. kingianum</i>	var. <i>cavaleriei</i>	var. <i>ericoidem</i>	var. <i>uncinatum</i>
			var. <i>kingianum</i>	var. <i>suberete</i>				
Rhizomes	moniliform or ginger-like, 1–4 cm thick	moniliform or ginger-like, 1–3.5 cm thick	subterete or submoniliform, 1–3 cm thick	–	–	–	–	–
Stem	1–3.5 m, apex subscandent	1–3 m, apex subscandent	1–3 m, apex subscandent	–	–	–	–	ca. 60 cm
Phyllotaxy	whorled, 3–6 (–10) per round	whorled, 3–5 per round	whorled, 3–10 per round	–	–	–	–	whorled, 4–5 per round
Leaf	5–20 (–27) cm × 0.5–2.5 (–3.2) cm, linear to lanceolate, apex strongly cirrose or curved	13–27 cm × (1.5–) 2.4–5 cm, linear to lanceolate, apex strongly cirrose or curved	6–16 (–20) cm × 0.2–1.0 (–1.5) cm, linear to lanceolate, membranous, apex cirrose	linear to lanceolate, somewhat coriaceous	narrow linear	short lanceolate, somewhat coriaceous, 5–6 cm × 1–1.4 cm	–	–
Inflorescence	(1–) 2–5	(1–) 2–5	(1–) 2–4 (–6)	(1–) 2–4 (–6)	1–2	2–4	2–4	–
Peduncle	1.7–3.5 cm	1–4 cm	1–2 cm	1–2 cm	stout, strongly deflexed	2–3 cm	2–3 cm	decurved
Pedicel	0.7–1.8 cm	0.4–1.7 cm	0.5–1.5 cm	–	–	–	–	–
Bract	subulate to lanceolate, 3–4 mm, at base of pedicel	linear, 2–5 mm, at base of pedicel	linear, 1–2 mm, on proximal part of pedicel	–	–	–	–	–
Perianth	white or pale yellowish-green	yellow or greenish-white	pink, red	white, tinged purple	white	white	white	white
Lobes	cylindrical campanulate	cylindrical	cylindrical–campanulate	–	–	–	–	–
Filament	2–3 mm, flat	2.5–3.5 mm, stout and no thickening	1.7–5 mm, slender	18–25 mm	–	–	–	10–13 mm
Anthers	ca. 5 mm	2.5–5.5 mm	4–6 mm	3–5 mm	–	–	–	–
Ovary	5–7 mm	ca. 4 mm	4–6 mm	–	–	–	–	–
Style	ca. 9–12 mm	ca. 8–14 mm	(8–) 10–14 mm	–	–	–	–	–
Fruits	berries pale yellowish-green or orange, 1–1.8 cm	berries yellow or orange with black spots, 1.4–1.8 cm	berries yellow, orange or red, 1–1.5 cm	–	–	–	–	–
Seeds	3–12	5–15	7–12	–	–	–	–	–
Distribution	Hunan, China	Southwest China	Southwest China, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam	China: Sichuan, Yunnan	China: Yunnan	China: Yunnan	China: Yunnan	China: Yunnan
Altitude	200–700 m	600–1200 m	700–3600 m	–	–	–	–	–



Figure 3. Lectotype of *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium*, Hao Zhang 1231 (CDCM 00044013).

ments (Table 2). In addition, we have observed stout and no thickening filaments in *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* (Figure 2G and Suppl. material 1: Figure S1) and slender filaments in *P. kingianum* (Figure 4G and Suppl. material 1: Figure S1). Tamura has reported that species of sect. *Verticillata* has slender filaments, whereas sect. *Polygonatum* has stout filaments and filaments of the ser. *Bracteata*, ser. *Polygonatum*




Figure 4. *Polygonatum kingianum* **A** stem **B** plant habit **C** leaves **D** rhizome **E** bracts **F** flower **G** longitudinal section of flower **H** fruit.

and ser. *Inflata* are thickening in the upper part, thickening in the middle or without thickening and thickening in the lower part, respectively (Tamura 1991, 1993; Tamura et al. 1997b).

The length of seven plastomes ranged from 155,549 bp to 155,911 bp, the accession numbers being MW373516–MW373522 (Table 1). They displayed the typical quadripartite structure with 132 genes in the same order, of which 112 were unique genes including 78 protein-coding genes, 30 tRNA genes and 4 rRNA genes. The alignment CDS matrix has 66,589 characters in length, in which 1827 are variable (polymorphic) sites and 512 are parsimony-informative sites. In addition, the alignment matrix of four plastid fragments has 4,652 characters in length, of which 85 are variable (polymorphic) sites and 58 are parsimony-informative sites. The phylogenetic tree of 78 CDS supports a robust monophyletic clade of three samples of *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* (BS = 100, PP = 1; Figure 5). However, it was not closely related to *P. kingianum* of section *Verticillata*, but was sister to the section *Polygonatum* (BS = 100, PP = 1; Figure 5). Additionally, the phylogeny, based on four plastid fragments, supported the monophyly of *P. hunanense* and




Figure 5. Phylogeny of *Polygonatum*, based on 78 protein coding genes (CDS) of plastome. Numbers above branches are Maximum Likelihood bootstrap values (BS)/Bayesian posterior probability (PP). The dash indicates support values of less than 50%. The phylogenetic position of *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium* is highlighted in green.




Figure 6. Phylogeny of *Polygonatum*, based on *rbcL*, *trnK*, *psbA-trnH* and *trnC-petN* sequences. Numbers above branches are Maximum Likelihood bootstrap values (BS)/Bayesian posterior probability (PP). The dash indicates support values of less than 50%. The phylogenetic position of *Polygonatum kingianum* var. *grandifolium* and *P. hunanense* are highlighted in green and grey, respectively.

P. kingianum var. *grandifolium* (BS = 99, PP = 1; Figure 6), which suggested they should be conspecific.

Therefore, we propose that *P. kingianum* var. *grandifolium* should be recognised as a new synonym of *P. hunanense*. In addition, both morphology and phylogeny showed that *P. hunanense* is different from *P. kingianum* and has a close relationship with sect. *Polygonatum*.

Taxonomic treatments

***Polygonatum hunanense* H.H. Liu & B.Z. Wang**

=*Polygonatum kingianum* Collett & Hemsl. var. *grandifolium* D.M. Liu et W.Z. Zeng, Flora Sichuanica. 7: 230–231. 1981. Type: CHINA. Sichuan: Guan County, 900 m alt., 8 June 1978, *Hao Zhang* 1231 (lectotype, designated here: CDCM [barcode 00044013]!, Figure 3; isolectotype: CDCM [barcode 00044022]!).

Modified description of *P. hunanense*. Perennials, rhizome moniliform or ginger-like, 1–4 cm in diam. Stem straight or apex subscendent, 1–3.5 m. Leaves in whorls of 3–6 (–10), sometimes alternate or opposite near base and/or apex of stem, sessile, elliptic to oblong-lanceolate, 5–20 (–27) cm long, 0.5–5 cm wide, apex strongly cirrose or curved. Inflorescences (1–) 2–5 flowered; peduncle 1–4 cm long, pendulous; bracts at base of pedicel, subulate to lanceolate, 2–5 mm. Pedicel 0.4–1.8 cm. Perianth yellowish- or greenish-white, cylindrical campanulate, slightly constricted in the middle, 1.5–2.7 cm long, perianth segments 6, arranged into 2 whorls, each 3 lobes 4–6.5 mm. Stamens 6, filaments 2–3.5 mm long, stout and no thickening, anthers 2.5–5.5 mm long. Ovary superior, globose 4–7 mm in diameter. Style 8–14 mm long. Berries pale yellowish-green or orange, 1–1.8 cm in diam., 3–15 seeds.

Phenology. It sprouts twice a year, in spring (March to April) and autumn (September). The spring-sprouting individual flowers from April to May and fruits from December to next February. The autumn-sprouting individual flowers from November to December.

Distribution and habitat. *Polygonatum hunanense* is relatively common in Chongqing Municipality, Sichuan, Hubei and Hunan Provinces, China (Figure 1). It grows in evergreen broad-leaved forests, thickets or on moist grassy slopes, at an elevation of 200 m to 1200 m. In addition, it is also widely cultivated in those areas for harvesting the rhizomes.

Conservation status. To our knowledge, this species is widely distributed in low elevations of southwest China. Therefore, we propose to treat it as Least Concern (LC) according to the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria version 14 (August 2019). However, due to the medicinal value of the genus, many of its populations are destroyed by unmanaged exploitation.

Other specimens examined. CHINA. Chongqing Municipality: Nanchuan District, Sanquan, 24 January 1984, *Zhengyu Liu* 4958 (fl., IMC!); *ibidem*, 10 July 1991,

Zhengyu Liu 917801 (fr. IMC!); Nanchuan District, Jinfo Mountain, 13 September 1985, *Zhengyu Liu* 851732 (fr. IMC!); *ibidem*, 28 June 1999, *Zhengyu Liu* 974488 (fl., IMC!); *ibidem*, 28 June 1999, *Zhengyu Liu* 974498 (fl., IMC!); *ibidem*, 28 June 1999, *Zhengyu Liu* 990498 (fl., IMC!); *ibidem*, 28 August 1999, *Zhengyu Liu* 975059 (fr. IMC!); Jiangjin District, Simian Mountain, 16 July 2000, *Zhengyu Liu* 2004036 (fl., IMC!); Pengshui County, Longmenxia, 24 June 1988, *Fading Fu & Yaling Cao* 0264 (CDBI!); Qijiang District, Zhongfeng Town, Lianghekou, 22 October 2012, *The Qijiang team* 13-500222-LY-411-01 (IMC!); *ibidem*, 22 October 2012, *The Qijiang team* 13-500222-LY-411-02 (fr., IMC!); Qijiang District, Shihao & Wanlong, 12 October 2014, *The Qijiang team* Qianjiang-0310 (IMC!); Wuxi County, Shuangyang, 16 July 1996, *Zhengyu Liu* 760044 (fl., IMC!). **Hubei Province:** Enshi City, Hegongwei Village, 06 November 2016, *Jinping Si & Jingjing Liu* 33-4 (HZU!). **Sichuan Province:** Pengzhou City, 22 June 1978, *Tianfu Yang & Yunjin Chen* 1231 (CDCM!); Qionglai City, 11 June 1979, *Chengdu University of TCM* 0668 (fl., CDCM!).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Lili Ying, Yan Lian (CDCM) and Jinbo Tan (SZ). This work was supported by the State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture (No. KF2019-6), the Major Science and Technology Project for Selection and Breeding of New Varieties of Agriculture (Chinese medicinal materials) in Zhejiang Province (No. 2016C02058-4) and the Science and Technology Basic Resources Investigation Program of China “Survey and Germplasm Conservation of Plant Species with Extremely Small Populations in South-west China” (No. 2017FY100100).

References

Chen XQ, Tamura MN (2000) *Polygonatum* Mill. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH (Eds) Flora of China (Vol. 24). Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Beijing/St. Louis, 225–235.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9(8): 772–772. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109>

Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G (2017) NOVOPlasty: De novo assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw955>

Floden A (2015) Three new Solomon's Seals (*Polygonatum*: Asparagaceae) from the Eastern Himalaya. *Phytotaxa* 236(3): 273–278. [2]: 125–131.] <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.236.3.8>

Floden A, Schilling EE (2018) Using phylogenomics to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships within tribe Polygonateae (Asparagaceae), with a special focus on *Polygonatum*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 129: 202–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.08.017>

Jeffrey C (1980) The genus *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae) in eastern Asia. *Kew Bulletin* 34(3): 435–471. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4109822>

Jeffrey C (1982) Further note on eastern Asian *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae). *Kew Bulletin* 37(2): 335–339. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4109978>

Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT Multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>

Liu HH, Ma YS, Wang BZ, Jie HD, Xiang SJ, Yang JN, Jie YC (2021) Morphological and molecular characters on a new species of *Polygonatum hunanense* from Hunan, China. *Crop Research* 35(1): 88–94.

Meng Y, Nie ZL, Deng T, Wen J, Yang YP (2014) Phylogenetics and evolution of phyllotaxy in the Solomon's seal genus *Polygonatum* (Asparagaceae: Polygonateae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 176(4): 435–451. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12218>

Miller AM, Pfeiffer P, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. *Gateway Computing Environments Workshop* 14: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>

Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) 19(12): 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>

Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, Sánchez-Gracia A (2017) DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34(12): 3299–3302. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248>

Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) 30(9): 1312–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>

Tamura MN (1990) Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae) I. Karyotype analysis of species indigenous to Japan and its adjacent regions. *Cytologia* 55(3): 443–466. <https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.55.443>

Tamura MN (1991) Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae) II. Morphology of staminal filaments of species indigenous to Japan and its adjacent regions. *Acta Phytotaxonomica et Geobotanica* 42: 1–18.

Tamura MN (1993) Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Liliaceae) III. Morphology of staminal filaments and karyology of eleven Eurasian species. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 115: 1–26.

Tamura MN (2008) Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Asparagaceae) V. Taxonomic revision of species in Japan. *Acta Phytotaxonomica et Geobotanica* 59: 15–29.

Tamura MN, Ogisu M, Xu JM (1997a) *Heteropolygonatum*, a new genus of the tribe Polygonateae (Convallariaceae) from West China. *Kew Bulletin* 52(4): 949–956. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4117821>

Tamura MN, Schwarzbach AE, Kruse S, Reski R (1997b) Biosystematic studies on the genus *Polygonatum* (Convallariaceae) IV. Molecular phylogenetic analysis based on restriction site mapping of the chloroplast gene *trnK*. *Feddes Repertorium* 108(3–4): 159–168. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.4921080306>

Wang JJ, Yang YP, Sun H, Wen J, Deng T, Nie ZL, Meng Y (2016) The biogeographic South-North divide of *Polygonatum* (Asparagaceae tribe Polygonateae) within Eastern Asia and its recent dispersals in the Northern Hemisphere. PLoS ONE 11: e0166134. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166134>

Wyman SK, Jansen RK, Boore JL (2004) Automatic annotation of organellar genomes with DOGMA. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 20(17): 3252–3255. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth352>

Xu JM (1981) *Polygonatum* Mill. In: Sichuan Flora Editorial Board (Eds) *Flora Sichuanica*. Sichuan People's Publishing House, Chengdu, 7, 230–232.

Zhao LH, Zhou SD, He XJ (2019) A phylogenetic study of Chinese *Polygonatum* (Polygonateae, Asparagaceae). Nordic Journal of Botany 37(2): njb.02019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.02019>

Supplementary material I

Figure S1. Longitudinal section of flower of *P. hunanense* and *P. kingianum*

Authors: Maoqin Xia, Ying Liu, Jingjing Liu, Donghong Chen, Yan Shi, Zhicong Bai, Yu Xiao, Chen Peng, Jinping Si, Pan Li, Yingxiong Qiu

Data type: species data

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.175.63383.suppl1>